

University of Art and Design Linz, Austria

Department of Media

ARTISTIC ANTI-FRAUD ACTIVISM AGAINST
ONLINE ADVANCE FEE FRAUD

by Mag.art. ANDREAS ZINGERLE

DOCTORAL THESIS

to achieve the academic grade

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Advisor: Univ. Prof. Mag. Brigitte Vasicek

Approved:

Linz, May 2016

© Copyright 2016 Mag.art. ANDREAS ZINGERLE

All rights reserved

Declaration

I declare that the work described in this thesis is original work undertaken by me for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Art and Design Linz, Austria. No part of the material described in this thesis has been submitted for any award of any other degree or qualification in this or at any other University or college or advanced education institute. This thesis is written by me and produced in L^AT_EX.

Mag.art. Andreas Zingerle

Table of Contents

	Page
Table of Contents	v
Abstract	xi
Acknowledgements	xii
Introduction	1
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Framing the research contribution	1
1.2 Establishing the research questions	5
1.3 Presenting the methods	7
1.3.1 Analysing strategies from anti-fraud forums	7
1.3.2 Case studies	9
1.3.3 Practice-based collaborative research	12
1.3.4 Cumulative research practice	14
1.3.5 Background research	23
1.3.5.1 Scambaiting in academic literature	23
1.3.5.2 Related works in performance and digital art	26
1.4 Introducing the chapters	30
Key Research Elements	31
2 Key research elements	31
2.1 Definitions of keywords	31

2.2	Categorisation of scambaiting strategies	35
2.2.1	Abstract	35
2.2.2	Introduction	35
2.2.3	Scambaiting strategies	37
2.2.3.1	The Scam Alerters	38
2.2.3.2	The Trophy Hunters	41
2.2.3.3	The Website Reporters	44
2.2.3.4	The Bank Guards	46
2.2.3.5	The Romance Scam Seekers	48
2.2.3.6	The Safari Agents	50
2.2.3.7	The Inbox Divers	53
2.2.4	Outlook	55
	Strategies From Anti-fraud Forums	58
3	Strategies from anti-fraud Forums	58
3.1	The art of trickery	58
3.1.1	Abstract	58
3.1.2	Introduction	59
3.1.3	A scammer’s scripted storyline	60
3.1.4	Share of workload	61
3.1.5	Anti-fraud strategies	62
3.1.5.1	Method 1: The blue-eyed victim	63
3.1.5.2	Method 2: The accidentally sent email messages	66
3.1.6	Conclusions	71
3.2	Online tools used in Internet crime narratives	73
3.2.1	Abstract	73
3.2.2	Introduction	73
3.2.3	Part 1: Storytelling tools to gain the trust of the counterpart	77
3.2.4	Part 2: Support character building	80

3.2.5	Part 3: Cover & Uncover	82
3.2.6	Tools used in other narratives	86
3.2.7	Conclusions	88
3.3	Other vigilante online communities	89
3.3.1	Abstract	89
3.3.2	Introduction	90
3.3.3	Crowdsourced online justice	92
3.3.3.1	Scambaiting communities	93
3.3.3.2	Perverted Justice Movement	96
3.3.3.3	Human Flesh Search Engine	98
3.3.3.4	Internet Haganah	101
3.3.4	Projects from journalism, art and activism	102
3.3.5	Discussion	108
3.3.6	Conclusions	109
	Artistic Case Studies	112
4	Artistic case studies	112
4.1	Narratives in scam emails	114
4.1.1	Case study: ‘Re: Dakar Arts Festival’	114
4.1.1.1	Abstract	114
4.1.1.2	Introduction	115
4.1.1.3	Scams and the participants involved	116
4.1.1.4	Who are the scammers?	117
4.1.1.5	Who falls for the scam?	118
4.1.1.6	What motivates the scambaiter?	118
4.1.1.7	Transmedia storytelling	120
4.1.1.8	The ‘Re: Dakar Arts Festival’ artwork	121
4.1.1.9	The first contact	121
4.1.1.10	The anatomy of the scam	123

4.1.1.11	Developing a scambait into a transmedia story . . .	124
4.1.1.12	Channels and entrance points	126
4.1.1.13	Challenges and design choices	127
4.1.1.14	Reposting the open call	136
4.1.1.15	Further Developments	138
4.1.1.16	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	139
4.1.1.17	Conclusions	141
4.1.2	Case study: ‘Faceless patrons’	144
4.1.2.1	Abstract	144
4.1.2.2	Introduction	144
4.1.2.3	How the scam works	145
4.1.2.4	Interactive storytelling with a scammer	146
4.1.2.5	The augmented installation	149
4.1.2.6	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	150
4.1.2.7	Conclusions	152
4.2	Data security	153
4.2.1	Case studies: ‘Password:*****’& ‘Monitoring Harry Brooks’	153
4.2.1.1	Abstract	153
4.2.1.2	Introduction	154
4.2.1.3	Social engineering - skillful manipulation of users	155
4.2.1.4	Method 1: Fake Form Elicitation	155
4.2.1.5	Method 2: Spear-Phishing money transfer	157
4.2.1.6	Method 3: Phishing web service attack	160
4.2.1.7	Access granted - warning victims	161
4.2.1.8	Moral dilemmas	163
4.2.1.9	Case study: ‘Password: *****’	164
4.2.1.10	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	166
4.2.1.11	Case study: ‘Monitoring Harry Brooks’	169

4.2.1.12	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	171
4.2.1.13	Conclusions	173
4.3	Technology used in scams	174
4.3.1	Case study: ‘Let’s talk business’	174
4.3.1.1	Abstract	174
4.3.1.2	Introduction	174
4.3.1.3	Related works	176
4.3.1.4	The Narratives used in email scams	178
4.3.1.5	The development of ‘Let’s talk business’	185
4.3.1.6	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	187
4.3.1.7	Conclusions	189
4.3.2	Case study: ‘Megacorp.’	190
4.3.2.1	Abstract	190
4.3.2.2	Introduction	190
4.3.2.3	Open Source Intelligence Tools	191
4.3.2.4	The ‘Megacorp.’ business conglomerate	200
4.3.2.4.1	Data gathering	201
4.3.2.4.2	Data vizualisation	202
4.3.2.5	Related works	203
4.3.2.6	Setup and exhibition of the artwork	206
4.3.2.7	Conclusions	207
	Practice-based collaborative research	210
5	Practice-based collaborative research	210
5.1	Revisiting the SPAM folder	210
5.1.1	Abstract	211
5.1.2	Introduction	212
5.1.3	Intended audience	213
5.1.4	Format and program	214

5.1.4.1	The theoretical module	214
5.1.4.2	The practical module	215
5.1.5	Outcome from the workshops	217
5.2	Credible fiction - deceptive realities	218
5.2.1	Introduction	218
5.2.2	Intended audience	218
5.2.3	Format and program	219
5.2.3.1	The theoretical module	219
5.2.3.2	The practical module	220
5.2.4	Outcome from the workshop	221
5.3	Artist in Residencies	222
5.4	'Behind the Smart World' Research Lab	225
	Outlook	230
6	Outlook	230
6.1	Summary of the Contribution	230
6.2	Limitations	235
6.3	Projections for future research	237
	List of Figures	239
	References	241
	Apendix A	252

Abstract

This dissertation investigates the role of vigilante online communities of scambaiters in their endeavours against Internet fraud. By analysing online forums I identified different types of scambaiters, and I tested their strategies and tools in artistic case studies and workshops. This research is motivated by two main research questions: (1) Based on the scambaiters' actions and motives, should they be considered Internet activists or digital vigilantes?; and (2) How can their strategies be translated into a practice of creative activism? In order to work on these questions, my research investigated how storytelling, social engineering, data security and communication technologies are used by these groups. The workshops served as an opportunity to experiment with new tools and discuss their moral implications, while in the artistic case studies I tested the strategies for their activist potential. Previous research on the scambaiting communities have focused on the virally shared photos that portray scammers in humiliating postures. The findings of my research show that the scambaiting communities are far more diverse than previously assumed. Therefore the most important contribution of this thesis was to show that scambaiters also use various activist tactics. Hence certain scambaiter communities can be defined as anti-fraud activists who serve the Internet community. In the scope of this dissertation I further discuss the results of the artistic case studies, implications for the perception of scambaiting communities, and I also bring forth areas of future research.

Acknowledgements

I would like to address special thanks to my advisor Univ. Prof. Mag. Brigitte Vasicek for her advice during the work on my thesis. I particularly want to thank my wife Linda Kronman for her artistic collaboration in the case studies and her critical feedback throughout the years. I would also like to express my gratitude to my Erasmus+ Teaching mobility hosts Tonguc Ibrahim Sezen from the Department of Communication and Management at the Bilgi university, Istanbul (Turkey), and Jill and Scott Rettberg from the Digital Cultures Research Group at the University of Bergen (Norway). Special thanks also go to members of the Interactive Digital Storytelling conference Steering committee and I want to thank especially Hartmut Koenitz and Alex Mitchell for their inspiration and feedback. I want to thank Us(c)hi Reiter from servus.at for the collaboration on the ‘Behind the Smart World’ Artlab, the process development for the publication and the possibility to curate the exhibition during the ‘Art meets radical openness’ Festival 2016. I want to thank the ‘esc - medien kunst labor’ and especially Reni Hofmüller for making the exhibition, workshop and artist talk possible during the Steirischer Herbst 2015. I also want to thank Tim and Tina from Time’s up for their invitation to the ‘PARN - Narrative Strategies’ symposium in Madeira in 2013. I want to thank Gerhard Funk for the opportunity to teach a ‘419-fiction’ lecture at the Webscience Masters program. Last but not least I want to thank the proofreaders Chinmoyi Patel, Rusha Shukla and especially Aileen Derieg for proofreading my papers and the thesis.